Page 1 of 58

8. September 2022

## Dimensioning — edge banding



## Content

| 1. Squareness of the sizing cut     | 2  |
|-------------------------------------|----|
| 2. Straightness of the sizing cut   | 3  |
| 3. Fibrousness                      | 4  |
| 4. Hollow cut                       | 5  |
| 5. Chatter marks                    | 6  |
| 6. Level uniformity                 | 8  |
| 7. Presence of tears and break-offs | 9  |
| 8. Edge roughness                   | 10 |
| Content                             | 13 |
| 9. Joint closure                    | 15 |
| 10. Mechanical damage               | 16 |
| 11. Edge removal                    | 17 |
| 12. Geometry                        | 20 |
| Inhalt                              | 23 |
| 13. Cutting                         | 24 |
| 14. Profile trimming                | 34 |
| 15. Profile and glue joint scraper  | 46 |

# HE HOMAG



### 1. Squareness of the sizing cut

| Nhat? | Quality feature | Squareness of the sizing cut   |
|-------|-----------------|--|
| -     | Definition      | The angle between the formatted narrow surface and the workpiece surface (covering layer side) must be 90° after the formatting of the narrow surface with a joint trimmer or double chipper. Any angular deviations from the setpoint angle (= 90°) are not acceptable. |
| × ۲   | Measuring       | Pragmatic — subjective:  |
| ĥ     | instrument      | Light gap measurement — precision control square   |
|       |                 | Theoretical — objective:   |
|       |                 | Coordinate-measuring device  |
|       |                 | Height-measuring device  |
|       |                 |  |

# HE HOMAG

|                       | Pag  | e 3 of 58   |  |  | 8. September 2022   |
|-----------------------|--|---|--|--|---|
|                       |  |   |  |  |   |
| Measurement<br>method | An angle must be measured only in combination with both trimming motors (double chipping unit and joint trimmer). This measurement must also be performed over several workpieces (minimum two) with the same setting with MDF material and a workpiece height of 60 mm. |   |  |  |   |
|                       | Light gap mea<br>Measurement<br>the height of<br>same level (w<br>at least the fo  | asurement — p<br>of the angle be<br>the narrow sur<br>orkpiece heigh<br>llowing four me | recision control s<br>etween the narrow<br>face. The lower a<br>t of 60 mm requir<br>pasuring lines. | quare:<br>/ surface and th<br>and upper cutti<br>red). The squar | ne top of the workpiece over<br>ing surfaces must have the<br>reness must be checked on |
|                       | 1  | 2   | 3  | 4  |   |
|                       |  |   |  | •  | — Facing  |
|                       |  |   |  | •  | —— Narrow surface   |
|                       |  |   |  | •  | — Facing  |
|                       |  | Figure 1: N   | leasuring the squa   | reness of the siz  | zing cut  |
|                       | Coordinate-m<br>Automatic che  | easuring device<br>eck of the squa  | e:<br>reness in compar   | ison with a CA   | D model.  |
|                       | Height-measu<br>Check of the s   | iring device:<br>squareness wit   | h the height meas  | suring device.   |   |
| Decision criteria     | The tolerance<br>60 mm is: ± 0   | e of the square<br>.05 mm.  | eness for a carrie   | er material wit  | h a workpiece thickness of  |
|                       | Light gap mea<br>The width of t<br>(light gap app  | asurement — p<br>he light gap tha<br>roximately 0) b                                    | recision control s<br>at forms is evaluat<br>etween the meas   | quare:<br>ted. There mus<br>uring instrume                       | st be no significant light gap<br>nt and the workpiece.                                 |
|                       |  |   |  |  |   |

### 2. Straightness of the sizing cut

| hat? | Quality feature | Straightness of the sizing cut   |
|------|-----------------|--|
| M    | Definition      | Assessment of the sizing cut with regard to the straightness of the narrow surface, relative to the workpiece length.<br>The straightness for formatting is determined primarily by a closed glue joint or functional layer. If not straight, the gluing process can result in uneven or open joints.<br>In addition, during alternating trimming, the straightness is influenced by the impacts when the trimmer is inserted. |

# HE HOMAG

Page 4 of 58

8. September 2022

| ۲î       | Measuring instrument | Pragmatic — subjective:   |  |
|----------|----------------------|---|--|
| <u>o</u> | 5                    | Haptic testing (finger test)  |  |
| Т        |                      | I ight gap measurement — straight edge/precision control  |  |
|          |                      | equare  |  |
|          |                      | Square  |  |
|          |                      |   |  |
|          |                      |   |  |
|          |                      | Coordinate-measuring device   |  |
|          | Measurement method   | Haptic testing (finger test):   |  |
|          |                      | In haptic testing, the person performing the test moves their fingertips over the surface of the narrow surface to strengthen the perception of unevenness. |  |
|          |                      | Light gap measurement — straight edge/precision control square:   |  |
|          |                      | To determine the deviations, a precision control square for light gap   |  |
|          |                      | measurement is placed on the surface of the carrier material with an angle leg  |  |
|          |                      | and the straightness of the narrow surface is measured. The straightness or   |  |
|          |                      | flatness of the sizing cut can thus be assessed.  |  |
|          |                      | When measuring the light gap with a precision control square, it can be seen in   |  |
|          |                      | the backlight whether there are straight or non-straight sections on the narrow   |  |
|          |                      | surface. Special attention must also be paid to impacts from alternating trimming.  |  |
|          |                      |   |  |
|          |                      |   |  |
|          | Decision criteria    | The straightness must be within a tolerance zone (demarcated by two parallel  |  |
|          |                      | planes) of ± 0.05 mm.   |  |
|          |                      |   |  |
|          |                      | Light gap measurement — straight edge/precision control square:   |  |
|          |                      | The width of the light gap between the formatted narrow surface and the precision   |  |
|          |                      | control square must be visually assessed and no significant light gap (light gap  |  |
|          |                      | approximately 0) must be visible.   |  |
|          |                      |   |  |
|          |                      | Coordinate-measuring device:  |  |
|          |                      | The straightness must be within a tolerance zone of $\pm 0.05$ mm.  |  |
|          |                      |   |  |
|          |                      |   |  |

### 3. Fibrousness

| nat? | Quality feature | Fibrousness of the narrow surface  |
|------|-----------------|--|
| Ň    | Definition      | When the narrow surface is processed and chips created, fibrous surfaces<br>can form due to chips, cells or cell components not being completely<br>separated from the material. This can be influenced by the shape of the<br>blade, the blade wear and the fiber cutting direction.<br>The severity of such effects can vary with different carrier materials. |

# HE HOMAG

Page 5 of 58

8. September 2022

| ( '' | Measuring instrument | Pragmatic — subjective:  |  |
|------|----------------------|--|--|
| ð    | medealing mediament  | Visual and hantic tosting (finger test)  |  |
| Т    |                      |  |  |
|      |                      | Measuring magniller  |  |
|      |                      | Progratic chiestive:   |  |
|      |                      | Praymatic — Objective.   |  |
|      |                      | • Reference sample (e.g. in the form of images)  |  |
|      |                      | Theoretical chiestive:   |  |
|      |                      | Digital microscopo   |  |
|      |                      | Digital microscope   |  |
|      |                      | USB microscope   |  |
|      | Measurement method   | Particular attention must be paid to the following aspects:  |  |
|      |                      | MDF: fibers protruding over the entire narrow surface  |  |
|      |                      | Chipboards: fibers and loose chip pieces in the middle   |  |
|      |                      | laver  |  |
|      |                      | Solid wood: torn pieces of fiber, especially in the edge   |  |
|      |                      | area   |  |
|      |                      |  |  |
|      |                      | Visual and hantic testing:   |  |
|      |                      | The workpieces are checked visually and hantically over the entire formatted                                 |  |
|      |                      | narrow surface. Visual deviations are considered errors if they are visible to                               |  |
|      |                      | the naked eve from a viewing distance of 50 cm within 30 seconds   |  |
|      |                      |  |  |
|      |                      | Measuring magnifier (5x magnification)   |  |
|      |                      | As with the viewal aback, a magnuting magnifier can also be used to more                                     |  |
|      |                      | As with the visual check, a measuring magnifier can also be used to more clearly identify protructing fibers |  |
|      |                      | clearly identity protiduing libers.  |  |
|      |                      | Digital microscope/LISB microscope:  |  |
|      |                      | As with the visual check, a microscope can also be used to more clearly                                      |  |
|      |                      | As with the visual check, a microscope can also be used to more clearly                                      |  |
|      |                      | and documented with images   |  |
|      |                      | and dooumontod with imagos.  |  |
|      |                      |  |  |
|      | Decision criteria    | There must be no significantly visible and/or haptically noticeable protruding                               |  |
|      |                      | chips or fibers over the entire narrow surface.  |  |
|      |                      |  |  |

### 4. Hollow cut

| nat': | Quality feature | Hollow cut  |
|-------|-----------------|---|
| Ň     | Definition      | The shape and position of the hollow cut are the basis for air-tight edge banding.<br>A hollow cut is created over the height of the narrow surface both for joint<br>trimming and for double chipping. The hollow cut creates a narrow glue joint. |

# HE HOMAG

Page 6 of 58

8. September 2022

| How? | Measuring instrument | <ul> <li>Pragmatic — subjective:         <ul> <li>Light gap measurement with precision control square/straig edge</li> <li>Feeler gauge</li> </ul> </li> <li>Pragmatic — objective:         <ul> <li>Coordinate-measuring device</li> <li>Height-measuring device</li> </ul> </li> </ul>  |  |
|------|----------------------|---|--|
|      | Measurement method   | To check the hollow cut, MDF carrier materials with a height of min. 38 mm should<br>be used.<br>Light gap measurement with precision control square/straight edge:<br>To determine the deviations, a precision control square for light gap<br>measurement is placed on the surface of the carrier material with an angle leg<br>and measured against the narrow surface. The hollow cut can thus be assessed.<br>When measuring the light gap with a straight edge, the shape of the hollow cut<br>can be seen in the backlight.<br>Height-measuring device:<br>The workpiece must not be warped to ensure that the hollow cut can be measured<br>correctly with the height-measuring device. |  |
|      | Decision criteria    | The hollow cut must always be centered (symmetrically) over the height of the narrow surface.<br>Hollow cut created = 0.067 mm (40-mm MDF panel; 4014021260)<br>Hollow cut created = 0.017 mm (20-mm MDF panel; 4014021260)   |  |

### 5. Chatter marks

| Quality feature | Chatter marks |
|-----------------|---------------|
|                 |               |

# HE HOMAG

|       |                      | Page 7 of 58 8. September 2022   |  |  |
|-------|----------------------|--|--|--|
|       |                      |  |  |  |
| What? | Definition           | <ul> <li>The formatted narrow surface is characterized by wavy processing tracks, whic appear as chatter marks.</li> <li>Despite the small (within µm range) chatter mark depth t, they are easy to see with scattered light, as they disperse light falling at an angle and create shadow effects.</li> <li>In the case of tools with multiple blades, the cutting kinematics only represen one blade on the surface produced due to the tolerances. The "shorter" blades contribute to the chipping work, but they are not visible on the workpiece due to their shorter length. In addition, vibrations between the tool and the workpiece can also be a cause (axial runout is decisive).</li> </ul> |  |  |
|       |                      | The chatter marks can be determined by the length and depth of the chatter   |  |  |
|       |                      | mark   |  |  |
| How?  | Measuring instrument | Pragmatic — subjective:<br>• Visual and haptic testing (finger test)<br>• Contact testing (charcoal pencil) + manual measuring<br>• Measuring magnifier<br>Theoretical — objective:<br>• Digital microscope<br>• Profile method<br>• Coordinate-measuring device   |  |  |
|       |                      | Contour-measuring device   |  |  |
|       |                      | Optical (camera system/laser)  |  |  |
|       | Measurement method   | Only MDF or solid wood carrier materials may be used to evaluate chatter marks<br>on a formatted workpiece. Ideally, plastic materials can also be used.<br>Measurement at feed = 20 m/min. There are two different parameters for<br>measuring procedures:<br>Chatter mark length<br>feed speed   |  |  |
|       |                      | Chatter mark length $=$ $\frac{1}{500000000000000000000000000000000000$  |  |  |
|       |                      | speed x ejjective cutting tooth  |  |  |
|       |                      | $f_{z_{eff}} = \frac{v_1}{n * z_w}$  |  |  |
|       |                      | н <u> </u>   |  |  |

# HE HOMAG

|                   | Page 8 of 58  | 8. September 2022  |
|-------------------|---|--|
|                   | Page 8 of 58<br>Chatter mark depth<br>Level of<br>roughness = $\frac{Chatter mark length^2}{4 x tool diameter}$   | 8. September 2022  |
|                   | $R_z = \frac{Jz_{eff}}{4 * d}$<br>Visual and haptic testing (finger test):<br>The entire formatted narrow surface of the<br>haptically. In haptic testing, the person perf<br>over the surface of the narrow surface to<br>marks. Visual deviations are considered e<br>eye from a viewing distance of 50 cm with | workpieces is evaluated visually and<br>forming the test moves their fingertips<br>strengthen the perception of chatter<br>errors if they are visible to the naked<br>in   |
|                   | 30 seconds.<br>Contact testing (charcoal pencil) + manual<br>In order to manually measure chatter mark<br>contact testing. Graphite rods can be used<br>are deposited in the chatter marks when the<br>edge surface. If the chatter mark widths<br>counted to reduce uncertainty when dete<br>averaging.          | I measuring:<br>ts, they should be highlighted through<br>here, for example. The color particles<br>e rods are pressed against the cutting<br>are even, multiple marks should be<br>ermining the start and end points by |
|                   | Digital microscope:<br>As with the visual check, a digital microso<br>be used to evaluate the chatter marks on<br>chatter mark length and width can be n<br>optimum setting.  | cope (e.g. dark field illumination) can<br>the narrow surface. In addition, the<br>neasured and documented with the  |
| Decision criteria | If wave-like chatter marks are visible wi<br>immediately considered "not OK."   | ithout optical aids, the workpiece is  |

### 6. Level uniformity

| Quality feature | Level uniformity |
|-----------------|------------------|
|                 |                  |

# HE HOMAG

Page 9 of 58

8. September 2022

| What? | Definition           | Level uniformity over the workpiece width for the joint trimmer or over the workpiece length of the narrow surface for the double chipper.<br>Tools with several offset sequential trimmers can create small steps and level inconsistencies on the narrow surface in the coverage area of the two cutting segments. The depth of the processing motors relative to each other is particularly important here. When using double chippers, such a transition occurs at the height of the narrow surface, and at the length of the narrow surface when using a joint trimmer.  |
|-------|----------------------|---|
| How   | Measuring instrument | <ul> <li>Pragmatic — subjective: <ul> <li>Light gap measurement with precision control square/straight edge</li> <li>Haptic testing (finger test)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Theoretical — objective: <ul> <li>Digital microscope</li> </ul> </li> </ul>  |
|       | Measurement method   | <ul> <li>Double chipper: measurement on the narrow surface at a workpiece height of min. 38 mm. Joint trimmer: measurement on the formatted narrow surface over the workpiece length.</li> <li>Haptic testing (fingernail test):</li> <li>For the haptic testing, the person performing the test moves their fingertips over the surface against the fibers, especially at the transition, to strengthen the perception ("cat hair effect").</li> <li>Light gap measurement with precision control square/straight edge: By measuring the light gap with a straight edge or precision control square, any steps on the narrow surface between the cutting surfaces of the two processing motors can be seen in the backlight.</li> <li>Digital microscope:</li> <li>As with light gap measurement, the digital microscope is used at a flat angle and in backlight to examine and document the level uniformity of the narrow surface.</li> </ul> |
|       | Decision criteria    | In the transition area between the two processing motors, there must be<br>neither a visual projection (contrast change in the overlap area) nor a<br>perceptible transition (step).<br>Double chipper:<br>There must be no recognizable transition in the form of a step over the<br>workpiece height that can be detected visually or with the measuring<br>devices.<br>Joint trimmer:<br>There must be no recognizable transition in the form of a step over the<br>workpiece length that can be detected visually or with the measuring<br>devices.   |

### 7. Presence of tears and break-offs

# HE HOMAG

|        |                      | Page 10 of 58  | 8. September 2022  |
|--------|----------------------|--|--|
|        |                      |  |  |
| nat 3  | Quality feature      | Presence of tears and break-of   | Ś  |
| 1<br>V | Definition           | When the blade exits at the end<br>tears if the surface can no long<br>this is especially prevalent when<br>flake or tear, especially at point<br>away from the narrow surface (<br>workpiece corners).<br>There is also a risk of transvers<br>breaking off.  | I of the workpiece, there is a risk of creating<br>er withstand the processing forces. In solid wood,<br>in processing in a transverse direction. Parts can<br>s where the tool exits with a cutting direction<br>e.g. in reverse rotation when exiting at the<br>e edges applied in previous processing steps |
|        | Fundamentals         | VDI 3414 sheet 1   |  |
| How?   | Measuring instrument | Pragmatic — subjective:<br>• Visual check wi<br>• Haptic testing (   | thout tools<br>finger test)  |
|        | Measurement method   | Visual check without tools<br>The sizing cut of the workpieces<br>special attention to the edge an<br>considered errors if they are vis<br>50 cm within 30 seconds.<br>Haptic testing (finger test)<br>For the haptic testing, the perso<br>against the fibers over the narro<br>strengthen the perception ("cat | s is visually examined in good lighting (paying<br>d corner areas). Visual deviations are<br>ible to the naked eye from a viewing distance of<br>on performing the test moves their fingertips<br>ow surface (especially at the transition) to<br>hair effect").   |
|        | Decision criteria    | There must be no visible or notic<br>corners. There must also be no<br>the covering layer and/or the tra   | ceable tears on the entire narrow surface and tears or breaks beyond the narrow surface into ansverse edge.  |



Page 11 of 58

8. September 2022

| at3 | Quality feature      | Edge roughness of the cutting edge (= edge breaks)  |
|-----|----------------------|---|
| Wh  | Definition           | In the case of coated panels, parts of the decorative layer may flake, especially when formatting the narrow surface. While the coating is rather hard and brittle, the carrier plate is rather soft. If a force is now exerted on the composite by friction or cutting forces during cutting, this results in different stresses and strains in the components. The edge roughness feature (nicked surface with reference to the edge length) can be divided into the following types:<br>• Construction edge<br>• Bulge<br>• Crack<br>• Jagged edge<br>• Flaking of the decorative layer<br>• Tears in the decorative layer |
|     |                      | Breakouts that extend beyond the area of the decorative coating into the carrier material are particularly problematic.   |
| Υοw | Measuring instrument | Pragmatic — subjective:<br>• Measuring magnifier (5x magnification)<br>• Contact testing Pragmatic _ objective:   |
|     |                      | • Reference sample with grading scale (HOMAG Panel Dividing)  |
|     |                      | <ul> <li>Theoretical — objective:</li> <li>Contour-measuring device (EQUAM, form tester)</li> <li>USB microscope</li> <li>Digital microscope</li> <li>Laser measuring system</li> <li>Optical measuring system MSQ (HOMAG Panel Dividing)</li> </ul>  |

# HE HOMAG

|                    | Page 12 of 58   | 8. September 20  |
|--------------------|---|--|
|                    |   |  |
| Measurement method | Measuring magnifier:  |  |
|                    | Using a measuring magnifier, the edge a types of edge roughness in 50-mm section  | area is examined for the different ons.  |
|                    | Contact testing:  |  |
|                    | In order to manually measure edge rough<br>through contact testing. Graphite rods ca<br>color particles are deposited in the edge<br>pressed onto the cutting edge surface, m<br>easily recognizable. | hness, it should be highlighted<br>an be used here, for example. T<br>roughness when the rods are<br>naking the characteristics more |
|                    | Reference sample with grading scale (H0<br>Use the HOMAG Panel Dividing reference<br>1–4.   | OMAG Panel Dividing):<br>ce sample with grading scale fr   |
|                    | Digital microscope:<br>As with a measuring magnifier, a digital r<br>clearly identify edge roughness. In addition<br>and documented with images.  | microscope can also be used to<br>on, the results can be measure   |
| Decision criteria  | There must be no visually detectable type<br>area over the entire workpiece length the<br>specified measuring devices.  | es of edge roughness in the ec<br>at can be detected using the   |

HE HOMAG

Page 13 of 58

8. September 2022

## Gluing — edge banding



#### **Content**

| 1. Squareness of the sizing cut  | 2                                      |
|--|--|
| 2. Straightness of the sizing cut  | 3                                      |
| 3. Fibrousness   | 4                                      |
| 4. Hollow cut  | 5                                      |
| 5. Chatter marks   | 6                                      |
| 6. Level uniformity  | 8                                      |
| 7. Presence of tears and break-offs  | 9                                      |
| 8. Edge roughness  | 10                                     |
| Content  | 13                                     |
| 9. Joint closure   | 15                                     |
| <b>10. Mechanical damage</b><br>10.1 Board material<br>10.2 Edging strip   | <b> 16</b><br>16<br>17                 |
| <b>11. Edge removal</b><br>11.1 Peel resistance.<br>11.2 Chip tear   | <b> 17</b><br>18<br>19                 |
| <b>12. Geometry.</b> 12.1 Glue joint width.         12.2 Edgeband progression         12.3 Edge material overhangs | 20<br>20<br>21<br>22                   |
| Inhalt   | 23                                     |
| <ul> <li>13. Cutting</li></ul>   | 26<br>26<br>27<br>29<br>30<br>30<br>32 |
| 13.8 Undamaged protective film   | 33                                     |

I:\Delivery (A-L)\HOMHOM\_MGEAGX\_053\bersetzungsauftrag Qualitiskriterien\03\_Prod\03b\_DTP\03\_Work\PDF\Quality criteria EN-US - Qualitätskriterien EN-US.docx

Gluing

# HE HOMAG

Page 14 of 58

8. September 2022

| 14. Profile trimming   |    |
|--|----|
| 14.1 Parallelism of profile trimming                           | 35 |
| 14.2 Waviness  |    |
| 14.3 Chatter marks   |    |
| 14.4 Brinnelling from swinging up                              |    |
| 14.5 Processing roughness                                      |    |
| 14.6 Vertical processing transition                            | 40 |
| 14.7 Horizontal processing transition                          | 40 |
| 14.8 Flushness between the transverse and longitudinal edges   | 41 |
| 14.9 Impressions and shiny marks during profile trimming       | 43 |
| 14.10 Tears (for wooden edges)                                 |    |
| 14.11 Undamaged protective film                                | 45 |
| 15. Profile and glue joint scraper                             |    |
| 15.1 Profile scraper   | 46 |
| 15.1.1 Uniformity of profile outfeed                           |    |
| 15.1.2 Surface quality   | 47 |
| 15.1.3 Stress whitening  |    |
| 15.1.4 Scraper chip form                                       | 49 |
| 15.1.5 Impressions and shiny marks during profile scraping     | 50 |
| 15.1.6 Uniform processing                                      | 51 |
| 15.1.7 Waviness  | 52 |
| 15.1.8 Chip tear at the rear edge                              | 53 |
| 15.1.9 Transition from the edge material to the covering layer | 54 |
| 15.2 Glue joint scraper  | 55 |
| 15.2.1 No damage to the covering layer                         | 55 |
| 15.2.2 No glue residue in the area of the glue joint           |    |
| 15.2.3 Shiny marks with the glue joint scraper                 | 57 |
| 15.2.4 Undamaged protective film                               | 58 |



**FE HOMAG** 

Page 15 of 58

8. September 2022

### 9. Joint closure

|       | Quality feature         | Joint closure (closed glue joint)   |
|-------|-------------------------|---|
| What? | Definition              | Defects or cavities (furrows and accessible cavities) visible on the surface<br>in the glue joint between the panel material and edge material (or<br>between edge material and edge material).<br>In order to create a water vapor-proof coating, the surfaces brought into<br>contact must be fully utilized as a gluing zone.  |
|       | Regulations             | -   |
|       | Measuring<br>instrument | <ul> <li>Pragmatic — subjective:</li> <li>Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification)</li> <li>Color penetration process</li> <li>Contact testing</li> <li>Colored marker test (water-soluble Edding pen)</li> </ul>  |
|       |                         | Microscope (digital/USB)  |
| How?  | Measurement<br>method   | Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification):<br>The two front sides and the top and bottom of the second (produced)<br>workpiece or following workpiece are examined in a well-lit room at an<br>angle of 90° in 5x to<br>10x magnification.<br>Color penetration process:<br>The visible part of the glue joint must first be cleaned with a special<br>cleaning agent (MarkerR MR79) and then sprayed with permanent red<br>(MarkerR MR68NT). After<br>3 minutes, the permanent red can be wiped off with a paper towel and the<br>developer (MarkerR MR70) can then be applied. Defects are then shown<br>as red dots on the glue joint. |
|       |                         | Contact testing/colored marker test:<br>Color particles (e.g. of a graphite pencil or a water-soluble Edding pen)<br>can be deposited into any defects (openings) by pressing the<br>pencil/marker on the visible part of the glue joint. Defects can then be<br>clearly identified and, if necessary, measured.<br>Microscope (digital/USB):<br>As with the visual check, a digital microscope can be used to check that<br>the glue joint is closed. In addition, any defects that occur can be<br>measured (e.g. average value of the defects in 50-mm sections) and   |
|       | Decision<br>criteria    | The glue joint must be completely closed.<br>There must be no defects or cavities on any glue joint of the workpiece<br>that can be detected using the specified measuring devices.   |

Page 16 of 58

### 10. Mechanical damage

### 10.1 Board material

|       | Quality feature         | Mechanical damage to the panel material   |
|-------|-------------------------|---|
| What? | Definition              | <ul> <li>Damage to the panel material after it has left the dimensioning in defined quality must not be visible.</li> <li>Special attention must be paid to mechanical damage to the panel material caused by the pressure of the gluing roller on the covering layer of the panel material.</li> <li>The feature of mechanical damage to the panel material can be divided into the following types: <ul> <li>Positioned bulges in the covering layer</li> <li>Flaking and breaks</li> <li>Serrations</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
|       | Regulations             | -   |
|       | Measuring<br>instrument | <ul> <li>Pragmatic — subjective: <ul> <li>Visual check without tools</li> <li>Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Theoretical — objective: <ul> <li>Microscope (digital/USB up to 200x magnification)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>   |
|       | Measurement             | Visual check without tools:   |
| ;моН  | method                  | The entire workpiece length and the front sides are visually inspected<br>with special attention paid to the panel material. Visual deviations are<br>considered errors if they are visible to the naked eye from a viewing<br>distance of 50 cm within 30 seconds.   |
|       |                         | Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification):<br>The front sides and the top and bottom of the second or following<br>workpiece are examined in a well-lit room at an angle of 90° in 5x to<br>10x magnification.  |
|       |                         | Digital microscope/USB microscope:<br>Same as the visual check, but with the support of measuring instruments<br>and the possibility to measure and document errors.  |
|       | Decision<br>criteria    | There must be no visible damage to the panel material on the entire<br>workpiece in the direct connection (edge area) to the glue joint that can<br>be detected with the specified measuring devices.   |

**FE HOMAG** 

Page 17 of 58

8. September 2022

### 10.2 Edging strip

| What? | Quality feature<br>Definition | <ul> <li>Mechanical damage to the edgeband</li> <li>The magazine (e.g. edgeband guide), the edgeband transport or the pressure zone can cause mechanical damage to the edgeband surface.</li> <li>In addition, the pressure zone can also cause buckling at the front edge after the approach section (point 4).</li> <li>The feature of mechanical damage to the edgeband can be divided into the following types: <ul> <li>"Deformed" edgeband</li> <li>Edgeband missing/torn/too short</li> <li>Unevenness in the edge</li> <li>Dents</li> <li>Scratch marks</li> <li>Elongated depressions</li> </ul> </li> </ul>  |
|-------|-------------------------------|--|
|       | Regulations                   | -  |
|       | Measuring instrument          | <ul> <li>Pragmatic — subjective:</li> <li>Visual check without tools</li> <li>Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification)</li> <li>Light gap measurement with straight edge</li> </ul> Theoretical — objective: <ul> <li>Digital microscope</li> <li>USB microscope (200x magnification)</li> </ul>  |
| How?  | Measurement method            | Visual check without tools:<br>The workpieces are visually evaluated over the entire workpiece<br>length with special attention paid to the edgeband. Visual<br>deviations are considered errors if they are visible to the naked eye<br>from a viewing distance of 50 cm within 30 seconds.<br>Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification):<br>The top and bottom of the second (produced) or following<br>workpiece are examined in a well-lit room at an angle of 90° in 5x<br>to 10x magnification.<br>Light gap measurement with straight edge:<br>When measuring the light gap with a straight edge, any scratch<br>marks on the surface of the edgeband can be seen in the backlight.<br>Digital microscope/USB microscope:<br>Same as the visual check, but with the support of measuring<br>instruments and the possibility to measure and document errors. |
|       | Decision criteria             | There must be no visually perceptible damage on the edgeband<br>over the entire narrow surface in the longitudinal and transverse<br>direction of the workpiece that can be detected with the specified<br>measuring devices.  |

**HE HOMAG** 

Page 18 of 58

8. September 2022

### 11.1 Peel resistance

|       | Quality feature      | Edge removal — peel resistance   |
|-------|----------------------|--|
| What? | Definition           | The peel resistance describes the average force measured<br>perpendicular to the glue joint per test piece width unit that is<br>required to continuously separate the two joining parts (panel<br>material and edgeband) of a glued test piece. |
|       | Regulations          | <ul><li>DIN EN 1464</li><li>Instructions for the edge removal test</li></ul>   |
|       | Measuring instrument | <ul> <li>Theoretical — objective:</li> <li>Material-testing machine<br/>(e.g. tensile testing machine MPK SPZ 3K)</li> </ul>   |
| ćMi   | Measurement method   | Constant peeling of the edgeband with a cross rail feed speed of 100 mm/min and a peeling distance of min. 200 mm.<br>Evaluation of the average peel resistance, ignoring the first and last 10% of the peeling distance.                        |
| H     | Decision criteria    | The following average peeling forces in Newton per millimeter of sample width (N/mm) must be achieved:   |
|       |                      | >= 3 N/mm  |
|       |                      | As an alternative to the peeling forces, the test is passed in the event of a substrate breakage (edgeband breaks during the test).  |

**HE HOMAG** 

Page 19 of 58

8. September 2022

### 11.2 Chip tear

| What? | Quality feature      | Edge removal — chip tear-off   |
|-------|----------------------|--|
|       | Definition           | The extent to which the adhesive side of the edgeband is covered<br>with chips is evaluated on the peeled workpiece.<br>This is to assess the strength of the glue joint and the boundary<br>layer between the carrier material and the edgeband.  |
|       | Regulations          | -  |
| How?  | Measuring instrument | <ul> <li>Pragmatic — subjective:</li> <li>Visual check without tools</li> </ul>  |
|       | Measurement method   | Visual check without tools:<br>The chip tear is evaluated on a peeled edgeband. In this<br>evaluation, the extent to which the back of the edgeband is covered<br>with chips is investigated. Visual deviations are considered errors if<br>they are visible to the naked eye from a viewing distance of 50 cm<br>within 30 seconds. |
|       | Decision criteria    | The adhesion must be rated as very good if 100% of the peeled edgeband is covered with adhesive as well as with chips/fibers from the panel material.  |

Page 20 of 58

### 12. Geometry

## 12.1 Glue joint width

|       | Quality feature    | Geometry — glue joint width   |  |  |
|-------|--------------------|---|--|--|
| What? | Definition         | Measurement of the glue joint dimension (top and bottom of<br>workpiece) to determine the glue joint width over the entire<br>workpiece length using defined measuring points. Particular<br>attention must be paid to the buckling at the front and rear edges.  |  |  |
|       | Regulations        | -   |  |  |
|       | Measurement method | <ul> <li>Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification) with thread counter</li> <li>Theoretical — objective:         <ul> <li>Microscope (digital/USB with 200x magnification)</li> </ul> </li> <li>The glue joint must be measured at measuring points A to G (see</li> </ul>  |  |  |
|       |                    | Figure 1: Measurement of the glue joint width).   |  |  |
|       |                    | The arithmetic mean value is calculated from measuring points B to $B+C+D+E+E$  |  |  |
|       |                    | F using the formula $\bar{x} = \frac{B(G,B)(2,T)}{5}$ . The outer measuring points A  |  |  |
|       |                    | and G are not taken into account in the calculation of the mean value. These measuring points are particularly influenced by the lift-  |  |  |
|       |                    | off and contact pressure of the KAL.  |  |  |
|       |                    | Top view of workpiece   |  |  |
|       |                    | direction of feed   |  |  |
|       |                    | a6pa<br>+ <u>x/2</u> + <u>x/2</u> + <u>b</u> pa<br>+ <u>x/4</u> + <u>x/4</u> + <u>b</u> pa<br>- <u>x/4</u> + <u>b</u> pa<br>+ <u>x/4</u> + <u>b</u> pa<br>- |  |  |
| ć M   |                    | ž<br>Edging strip   |  |  |
| Ч     |                    |   |  |  |
|       |                    |   |  |  |
|       |                    | Panel/mvering layer   |  |  |
|       |                    | , uncouring ago   |  |  |
|       |                    |   |  |  |
|       |                    | Figure 1: Measurement of the glue joint width   |  |  |
|       | Decision criteria  | • $A = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + 2}$  |  |  |
|       |                    | • A unu $G \ge 0.5 * x$<br>• $\overline{x} - 20\% \le Messwerte B his F \le \overline{x} + 20\%$  |  |  |
|       |                    | Difference between top and bottom ± 20%   |  |  |
|       |                    | <ul> <li>Measured values A to G should be as small as possible<br/>(depending on the row materials) and B to E must not</li> </ul>  |  |  |
|       |                    | exceed 0.15 mm when using EVA or 0.1 mm when using PU.  |  |  |
|       |                    | Carrier material to be used: chipboard EN 312 P2 38 mm<br>(e.g. Egger P2).  |  |  |

Page 21 of 58

8. September 2022

### 12.2 Edgeband progression

|       | Quality feature      | Geometry — edgeband progression  |
|-------|----------------------|--|
| What? | Definition           | Measurement of the edgeband overhangs and the edgeband progression at the top and bottom of the workpiece over the entire length.  |
|       | Regulations          | -  |
|       | Measuring instrument | Pragmatic — objective:<br>• Fitting aid<br>Theoretical — objective:<br>• Caliper gage/depth gage   |
| How?  | Measurement method   | Gage:<br>An additional gage can be prepared to evaluate deviations.<br>Caliper gage/depth gage:<br>Measurement of the overhang of the edgeband at the top and<br>bottom of the workpiece over the entire workpiece length and<br>evaluation of the progression over the length for panels without a<br>hold-down device. |
|       | Decision criteria    | Bild 0361a $2 + 0.5$ Figure 2: Edgeband progressionIn general, the following specification applies to the use of the correct edgeband:Kantenbandhöhe [mm] = Plattendicke + 4 mmGage:<br>There must be no visible deviations from the workpiece to the gage.  |
|       |                      | Caliper gage/dial gage/depth gage:<br>The tolerance for the edgeband progression is:<br>$\pm 0,5 mm$   |

Page 22 of 58

8. September 2022

### 12.3 Edge material overhangs

|       | Quality feature      | Geometry — edge material overhangs  |
|-------|----------------------|---|
| What? | Definition           | Evaluation and measurement of the edge material overhangs longitudinally to the front and rear edge of a workpiece. |
|       | Regulations          | -   |
|       | Measuring instrument | Theoretical — objective:<br>• Caliper gage/depth gage   |
|       | Measurement method   | Caliper gage/depth gage:<br>Measurement of the overhangs of the glued edge material at the<br>front and rear edges. |
|       |                      | Front edge Rear edge  |
| How?  | Decision eriteria    | Edge<br>United Edge<br>Edge<br>Workpiece<br>Figure 3: Edgeband overhangs  |
|       | Decision criteria    | Type of Eropt edge Rear edge  |
|       |                      | alue  |
|       |                      | Glue joint 5 mm ± 2.0 mm 5 mm ± 2.0 mm  |
|       |                      | laserTec 10 mm ± 2.0 mm 20 mm ± 2.0 mm  |
|       |                      | airTec 20 mm ± 2.0 mm 30 mm ± 2.0 mm  |
|       |                      | Each at 20 m/min feed speed   |

# HE HOMAG

Page 23 of 58

## Post-processing — edge banding



| 1. Squareness of the sizing cut   | 2   |
|---|---|
| 2. Straightness of the sizing cut   | 3   |
| 3. Fibrousness  | 4   |
| 4. Hollow cut   | 5   |
| 5. Chatter marks  | 6   |
| 6. Level uniformity   | 8   |
| 7. Presence of tears and break-offs   | 9   |
| 8. Edge roughness   | 10  |
| Content   | 13  |
| 9. Joint closure  | 15  |
| <b>10. Mechanical damage</b><br>10.1 Board material<br>10.2 Edging strip      | <b>16</b><br>16<br>17                               |
| <b>11. Edge removal</b><br>11.1 Peel resistance<br>11.2 Chip tear             | <b> 17</b><br>18<br>19                              |
| <b>12. Geometry</b> 12.1 Glue joint width         12.2 Edgeband progression   | <b>20</b><br>20<br>21<br>22                         |
| Inhalt  | 23  |
| <ul> <li>13. Cutting</li></ul>  | <b>24</b><br>26<br>27<br>29<br>30<br>30<br>32<br>33 |
| 14. Profile trimming<br>14.1 Parallelism of profile trimming<br>14.2 Waviness | <b>34</b><br>35<br>36                               |

# HE HOMAG

Page 24 of 58

8. September 2022

| <ul> <li>14.3 Chatter marks</li> <li>14.4 Brinnelling from swinging up</li> <li>14.5 Processing roughness</li> <li>14.6 Vertical processing transition</li> <li>14.7 Horizontal processing transition</li> <li>14.8 Flushness between the transverse and longitudinal edges</li> <li>14.9 Impressions and shiny marks during profile trimming</li> <li>14.10 Tears (for wooden edges)</li> <li>14.11 Undamaged protective film</li> </ul> | 36<br>37<br>39<br>40<br>40<br>40<br>41<br>43<br>43<br>44<br>45 |
|---|--|
| 15. Profile and alue joint scraper  | 16   |
| 15.1 Profile scraper  | <b>40</b><br>46  |
| 15.1.1 Uniformity of profile outfeed  | 46   |
| 15 1 2 Surface quality  | 47   |
| 15 1 3 Stress whitening   | 48   |
| 15.1.4 Scraper chip form  | 49   |
| 15.1.5 Impressions and shiny marks during profile scraping  |  |
| 15.1.6 Uniform processing   |  |
| 15.1.7 Waviness   |  |
| 15.1.8 Chip tear at the rear edge   |  |
| 15.1.9 Transition from the edge material to the covering layer  |  |
| 15.2 Glue joint scraper   |  |
| 15.2.1 No damage to the covering layer  |  |
| 15.2.2 No glue residue in the area of the glue joint  | 56   |
| 15.2.3 Shiny marks with the glue joint scraper  |  |
| 15.2.4 Undamaged protective film  |  |

### 13. Cutting



## Post processing







Page 26 of 58

8. September 2022

### 13.1 Parallelism of the chamfer cut

| Quality feature         | Parallelism of the chamfer cut   |
|-------------------------|--|
| Definition              | Evaluation of the parallelism of the chamfer for chamfer snipping.<br>The chamfer thickness must be set according to the edgeband thickness. The<br>two chamfer edges must be at a parallel and equal distance over the entire<br>edgeband height.       |
| Regulations             | -  |
| Measuring<br>instrument | <ul> <li>Pragmatic — subjective:</li> <li>Visual check without tools</li> <li>Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification)</li> </ul>   |
|                         | Theoretical — objective:<br>• Digital microscope<br>• USB magnifying glass   |
| Measurement<br>method   | A test sample with a workpiece thickness of >= 38 mm must be used for the assessment of parallelism.   |
|                         | Visual check without tools:<br>The parallel progression of the chamfer cut is visually examined in good<br>lighting. Visual deviations are considered errors if they are visible to the naked<br>eye from a viewing distance of 50 cm within 30 seconds. |
|                         | Measuring magnifier:<br>In addition to the visual check, a measuring magnifier can be used to look at<br>the parallel progression more closely as a supportive measure.  |
|                         | Digital microscope:<br>For objective and reproducible results, a digital microscope can be used and<br>the parallelism measured and documented.  |
| Decision criteria       | Visual check without tools:<br>There must be no visual deviations in the parallelism of the chamfer over the<br>workpiece height.  |
|                         | With tools:<br>The parallelism of the test sample with a workpiece thickness >= 38 mm must<br>not deviate by more than 0.05 mm.  |
|                         | // 0.05mm  |
|                         | Quality feature         Definition         Regulations         Measuring         instrument         Measurement         method         Decision criteria   |



Page 27 of 58

8. September 2022

|           | Quality feature   | Angularity of the straight snipping cut   |
|-----------|-------------------|---|
| ıt?       | Definition        | Evaluation of the squareness of the straight snipping cut for flush and straight          |
| Vha       |                   | snipping.<br>This evaluation applies for all edge materials                               |
| >         |                   | This evaluation applies for all edge materials.   |
|           | Regulations       |   |
|           | inetrumont        | Pragmatic — subjective:   |
|           | Instrument        | Light gap measurement with precision control square                                       |
|           |                   | Theoretical — objective:  |
|           |                   | Digital microscope  |
|           |                   | Measuring machine (e.g. KMG)  |
|           | Measurement       | Light gap measurement with precision control square:                                      |
|           | method            | To determine the deviations, a precision control square for light gap                     |
|           |                   | measurement is placed on the base surface at an angle of 90° with an angle                |
|           |                   | leg to check the angle of the snipping cut with the second leg. The squareness            |
|           |                   | or the shipping cut can thus be assessed.   |
|           |                   |   |
|           |                   | L 0.05  |
|           |                   |   |
|           |                   |   |
| -<br>Jow? |                   |   |
|           |                   |   |
|           |                   |   |
|           |                   |   |
|           |                   | Figure 4: Angularity of the straight snipping cut   |
|           |                   |   |
|           |                   | Measuring machine (KMG):  |
|           | Decision critorio | Automatic check of the squareness in comparison with a CAD model                          |
|           | Decision criteria | Light gap measurement with precision control square:                                      |
|           |                   | precision control square.   |
|           |                   |   |
|           |                   | Measuring machine (KMG):  |
|           |                   | <ul> <li>Workniece thickness &lt;= 22 mm          tolerance = 0.05 mm         </li> </ul> |
|           |                   | <ul> <li>Workpiece thickness &gt; 22 mm → tolerance = 0.00 mm</li> </ul>                  |
|           |                   |   |

What?

# HE HOMAG

|                         | Page 28 of 58  | 8. September 2022   |
|-------------------------|--|---|
|                         |  |   |
| Quality feature         | Straightness of the snipping cut (edge th  | ickness ≤ 3 mm)   |
| Definition              | Assessment of the straightness of the sn<br>straight snipping processes. No surface u<br>edge of the snipping cut.<br>Straightness applies only to edge materia<br>≤ 3 mm. | ipping cut after the flush snipping and<br>inevenness must be detectable on the<br>als with a thickness                 |
| Regulations             | -  |   |
| Measuring<br>instrument | <ul> <li>Pragmatic — subjective:</li> <li>Light gap measurement with strain</li> </ul>   | ight edge   |
|                         | Theoretical — objective:<br>• Measuring machine (KMG)  |   |
| Measurement<br>method   | Only edge materials ≤ 3 mm may be use<br>Light gap measurement with straight edg<br>To determine the deviations, the longitu<br>gap measurement is placed on the ba        | d to assess the straightness.<br>ge:<br>Idinal side of a straight edge for light<br>ase surface and the snipping cut is |
|                         | checked. The straightness or flatness of t   | he snipping cut can thus be assessed.   |

| How? |                   | Figure 5: Straightness of the snipping cut   |
|------|-------------------|--|
|      |                   | Measuring machine (KMG):<br>Automatic check of straightness in comparison with a CAD model.  |
|      | Decision criteria | Light gap measurement — straight edge:<br>The straightness of the snipping cut must not deviate visually over the<br>workpiece height; no significant light gap must be visible. |
|      |                   | Measuring machine (KMG):<br>The straightness measurement of the snipping cut may deviate a maximum of $0.05 \text{ mm}$ for an edgeband $\leq 3 \text{ mm}$ .                    |

Page 29 of 58

8. September 2022

### 13.4 Evenness of the snipping cut (edge thickness > 3 mm)

|       | Quality feature         | Evenness of the snipping cut (edge thickness > 3 mm; solid edges)   |
|-------|-------------------------|---|
| What? | Definition              | Assessment of the evenness of the surface of the snipping cut after flush and straight snipping. No surface unevenness must be detectable on the surface of the snipping cut.<br>The evenness applies exclusively to edges with a thickness of > 3 mm, commonly referred to as solid edges.                                     |
|       | Regulations             | -   |
|       | Measuring<br>instrument | <ul> <li>Pragmatic — subjective:</li> <li>Light gap measurement with straight edge/precision control square</li> </ul>  |
|       |                         | <ul><li>Theoretical — objective:</li><li>Measuring machine (KMG)</li></ul>  |
|       | Measurement<br>method   | The quality feature of evenness can be evaluated only for edges > 3 mm. If possible, the evenness of the snipping cut is measured with a solid edge of 20 x 60 mm, otherwise with the thickest available edgeband.  |
| How?  |                         | Light gap measurement — straight edge/precision control square:<br>When measuring the light gap with a straight edge, it can be seen in the<br>backlight whether the surface is even or uneven. The surface of the snipping<br>cut should be checked over the eight measuring distances as shown by the<br>lines in the figure. |
|       |                         | Figure 6: Evenness of the spinning cut  |
|       |                         | Figure 6. Evenness of the shipping cut  |
|       |                         | KMG measuring machine:<br>Automatic check of evenness in comparison with a CAD model.   |
|       | Decision criteria       | Light gap measurement — straight edge:<br>In the back light, the width of the light gap between the solid edge and the<br>precision control square must be evaluated visually at the individual measuring<br>lines and as a whole. No significant light gap should be visible.  |
|       |                         | Measuring machine (KMG):<br>The tolerance of the evenness of a snipping cut is a maximum of 0.05 mm.  |

Page 30 of 58

8. September 2022

### 13.5 Processing roughness

|       | Quality feature         | Processing roughness of the snipping cut  |
|-------|-------------------------|---|
| What? | Definition              | When processing with defined blades, the roughness of the surface of the snipping cut is determined by the roughness of the blades (chatter marks, tooth marks, fibers, scratches, etc.) and reflected as cutting tracks on the snipping cut. |
|       |                         | whereas PP edges tend to smear.   |
|       | Regulations             | VDI guideline 3414 sheet 1  |
|       | Measuring<br>instrument | <ul> <li>Pragmatic — subjective:</li> <li>Haptic testing (finger test)</li> </ul>   |
|       |                         | <ul> <li>Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification)</li> <li>Light gap measurement with straight edge</li> </ul>   |
|       |                         | Theoretical chiestives  |
|       |                         | Contour-measuring device  |
|       |                         | Boughness-measuring device  |
|       |                         | <ul> <li>Digital microscope (+ dark field illumination)</li> </ul>  |
| How?  | Measurement<br>method   | Haptic testing (finger test):<br>In haptic testing, the person performing the test moves their fingertips over the<br>surface of the snipping cut to strengthen the perception of unevenness.   |
|       |                         | Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification):<br>The snipping cuts of the workpieces are evaluated at an angle of 90° with good<br>lighting and a 5x to 10x magnification.   |
|       |                         | Light gap measurement — straight edge:<br>To determine the deviations, the straight edge for light gap measurement is<br>placed on the snipping cut. The processing roughness on the snipping cut can<br>thus be assessed in the backlight.   |
|       | Decision criteria       | The limit value for the processing roughness of the snipping cut is $Rz = 25$ .   |
|       |                         | Haptic testing (finger test)<br>No significant roughness should be perceptible haptically on the snipping cut.  |
|       |                         | Measuring magnifier<br>No significant roughness must be perceptible with the measuring magnifier on<br>the snipping cut.  |
|       |                         | Light gap measurement — straight edge<br>No significant roughness should be perceptible in the backlight with the straight<br>edge.   |

# **HE HOMAG**

Page 31 of 58

8. September 2022

|       | Quality feature       | Presence of tears and break-offs   |
|-------|-----------------------|--|
| ~:    | Definition            | Visible and perceptible protruding fibers, tears and cracks in the edgeband over<br>the snipping cut, which, depending on the material, can occur due to the cutting<br>shape, tool wear and the direction of the fiber cut.   |
| What' |                       | <ul> <li>A distinction is made between two states of tears and breaks:</li> <li>In the case of plastic edgeband materials (PP &amp; ABS), by edgeband breaking off upward, downward and especially at the corners.</li> <li>In the case of wood and melamine edgebands, tears occur at the edge areas of the snipping cut.</li> </ul>  |
|       | Regulations           | -  |
|       | Measuring             | Pragmatic — subjective:  |
| How?  | Instrument            | <ul><li>Visual check without tools</li><li>Haptic testing (finger test)</li></ul>  |
|       | Measurement<br>method | Visual check without tools:<br>The snipping cuts of the workpieces are visually examined in good lighting with<br>special attention paid to the edge and corner areas. Visual deviations are<br>considered errors if they are visible to the naked eye from a viewing distance<br>of 50 cm within 30 seconds.  |
|       |                       | Haptic testing (finger test):<br>In addition to the visual check, the person performing the test moves their<br>fingertips over the surface against the direction of cutting, so that fibers or parts<br>of fibers stand up due to their jagged structure. These fibers get caught in the<br>furrows and grooves of the fingertips, thus increasing the perception ("cat hair<br>effect"). |
|       | Decision criteria     | Visual check without tools/haptic testing:<br>There must be no visible or haptically perceptible tears over the entire height<br>of the snipping cut.<br>In addition, there must be no tears or break-offs beyond the snipping cut into<br>the covering layer.   |

Page 32 of 58

8. September 2022

### 13.7 Impressions and shiny marks during cutting

|       | Quality feature         | Impressions and shiny marks during cutting  |
|-------|-------------------------|---|
| What? | Definition              | <ul> <li>Design deviations in the form of pressure points and shiny marks on the edgeband and in the form of friction when the workpieces are traced by the snipping stops (tracing elements).</li> <li>Difference between impressions and shiny marks: <ul> <li>Impressions are created in particular with upright snipping stops by the snipping stop at the front and/or rear edge.</li> <li>Shiny marks are created by moving snipping stops or the chamfer snipping stop. Note that this effect is intensified in the case of dark, glossy colors.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>  |
|       | Regulations             | -   |
|       | Measuring<br>instrument | <ul> <li>Pragmatic — subjective:</li> <li>Visual check without tools</li> <li>Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification)</li> <li>Haptic testing (finger test)</li> </ul>  |
| How?  | Measurement<br>method   | Visual check without tools:<br>Workpieces with an edgeband are visually checked in the backlight/scattered<br>light (natural/direct sunlight). Shine is characterized by the intense reflection of<br>light on smooth surfaces. In comparison with the majority of the surface, shiny<br>marks and impressions are recognizable by a change of directional reflection<br>(when exposed to light).<br>Visual deviations are considered errors if they are visible to the naked eye from<br>a viewing distance of 50 cm within 30 seconds.<br>Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification):<br>A measuring magnifier can be used to examine and evaluate shiny marks or<br>detect impressions more closely.<br>Haptic testing (finger test):<br>Haptically, impressions can be felt in particular on the workpiece in the area of<br>the snipping stops. |
|       | Decision criteria       | In the areas where the snipping stops touch or slide on the edgeband, no visible and/or haptically perceptible impressions or shiny marks should be detected using the specified measuring devices.   |



Page 33 of 58

8. September 2022

### 13.8 Undamaged protective film

|       | Quality feature       | Undamaged protective film  |
|-------|-----------------------|--|
| What? | Definition            | If protective film is present on the edgeband, it must not be ripped or torn by<br>the snipping process and hang down. It is important that the protective film is<br>not damaged. This can occur especially in the case of snipping units with<br>moving snipping stops.  |
|       | Regulations           | -  |
|       | Measuring             | Pragmatic — subjective:  |
|       | instrument            | Visual check without tools   |
| How?  | Measurement<br>method | Visual check without tools:<br>The workpiece is visually inspected at the snipping cut areas in good lighting<br>without any tools.<br>Visual deviations are considered errors if they are visible to the naked eye from<br>a viewing distance of 50 cm within 30 seconds. |
|       | Decision criteria     | Visual check without tools:<br>During this visual check, a distinction is made between two states for visual<br>evaluation:  |
|       |                       | <ul> <li>OK = undamaged protective film and adhesion</li> <li>NOK = damaged protective film or no adhesion</li> </ul>  |

Page 34 of 58

8. September 2022

**HE HOMAG** 

### 14. Profile trimming







Page 35 of 58

8. September 2022

### 14.1 Parallelism of profile trimming

|       | Quality feature         | Parallelism of profile trimming   |
|-------|-------------------------|---|
| What? | Definition              | Evaluation of the vertical profile variant (e.g. radius, chamfer) of profile trimming<br>for parallelism over the entire workpiece height.<br>The parallelism of the vertical profile variant describes the parallel progression<br>of the profile at the same profile width over the workpiece height of the two |
|       |                         | cutting edges to each other.  |
|       | Regulations             | -   |
|       | Measuring<br>instrument | <ul><li>Pragmatic — subjective:</li><li>Visual check without tools</li></ul>  |
|       |                         | <ul> <li>Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification)</li> </ul>   |
|       |                         | Theoretical — objective:  |
|       |                         | Digital microscope  |
| Ном?  | Measurement<br>method   | Workpieces with a height of $\geq$ 38 mm must be used to evaluate parallelism.  |
|       |                         | Visual check without tools/measuring magnifier:<br>The vertical profile gradients of the workpieces are examined for parallelism in   |
|       |                         | good lighting. Visual deviations are considered errors if they are visible to the naked eye from a viewing distance of 50 cm within 30 seconds.   |
|       |                         | Digital microscope:   |
|       |                         | In addition, a digital microscope can be used for objective and reproducible results.   |
|       | Decision criteria       | Visual check without tools/measuring magnifier:<br>There must be no visible deviations in parallelism over the entire workpiece<br>height after profile trimming.   |
|       |                         | Digital microscope:<br>The profile variant of the edgeband may deviate up to a maximum of 0.05 mm<br>in its parallelism over the entire workpiece height.   |



Page 36 of 58

8. September 2022

#### 14.2 Waviness

|       | Quality feature         | Waviness   |
|-------|-------------------------|--|
| What? | Definition              | Waviness caused by cutting refers to uneven parts with wavelengths or surface irregularities.<br>This waviness is caused by the flat area of the tool blades, which can cause a profile (e.g. radius, chamfer) to become too wide and the two edge areas (e.g. the radii) to become wavy.<br>For a precise radius, it is important to use the correct tool for the desired radius. |
|       | Regulations             | -  |
|       | Measuring<br>instrument | <ul> <li>Pragmatic — subjective:</li> <li>Visual check without tools</li> <li>Light gap measurement — straight edge/precision control square</li> <li>Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification)</li> </ul>   |
|       |                         | <ul> <li>Theoretical — objective:</li> <li>Digital microscope</li> <li>KMG measuring machine</li> <li>Contour-measuring device</li> </ul>  |
|       | Measurement<br>method   | Visual check without tools:<br>The straight progressions of the profiles is examined in good lighting. Visual<br>deviations are considered errors if they are visible to the naked eye from a<br>viewing distance of 50 cm within 30 seconds.  |
| -10W? |                         | Light gap measurement — straight edge/precision control square:<br>To make waviness more easily detectable, a straight edge or a precision<br>control square can be used.  |
|       |                         | Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification):<br>The vertical and horizontal profiles of the workpieces are evaluated at an angle<br>of 90° with good lighting using a measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification).   |
|       |                         | Digital microscope:<br>In addition, a digital microscope can be used for objective and reproducible<br>results.  |
|       | Decision criteria       | Visual/measuring magnifier/measuring magnifier/digital microscope:<br>There must be no visible waviness.   |
|       |                         | Light gap measurement — straight edge/precision control square:<br>The width of the light gap between the edgeband and the straight edge must<br>be evaluated visually. In the case of a straight profile without waves, neither a<br>gap nor waviness must be detectable (e.g. with the straight edge).   |

**FE HOMAG** 

|       |                                       | Page 37 of 58  | 8. September 2022   |  |  |
|-------|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
|       | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |  |   |  |  |
|       | Quality feature                       | Chatter marks on the vertical part of th   | e workpiece   |  |  |
| What? | Definition                            | The trimmed vertical part of the profile<br>tracks that can appear as chatter ma<br>blades, the cutting kinematics only repr<br>due to the tolerances of individual bla<br>chatter marks is created by the tool fee<br>Due to a missing scraper, these canno<br>remain in the vertical part and especial   | trimming is characterized by processing<br>arks. In the case of tools with multiple<br>resent one blade on the trimmed surface<br>ades. The distance between individual<br>ed.<br>of be equalized, and chatter marks thus<br>ly in the corners (ball above and below).  |  |  |
|       | Regulations                           | -  |   |  |  |
| How?  | Measuring<br>instrument               | Pragmatic — subjective:<br>Visual and haptic testing (finger<br>Contact testing (+ manual mean<br>Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x)<br>Theoretical — objective:<br>Digital microscope (dark field ill<br>Profile method<br>Measuring machine (KMG)<br>Contour-measuring device<br>Optical (camera system/laser)  | r test)<br>suring)<br>magnification)<br>umination/image processing)   |  |  |
|       | Measurement<br>method                 | Visual and haptic testing (finger test):<br>The vertical part of the entire formatte<br>haptically. Visual deviations are cons<br>naked eye from a viewing distance of 8<br>30 seconds. In haptic testing, the per<br>fingertips over the surface of the narro<br>of chatter marks.<br>Contact testing (+ manual measuring):<br>Graphite rods can be used here, for exa<br>in the chatter marks when the rods<br>surface. (If the chatter mark widths are<br>to reduce uncertainty when determining<br>Microscope:<br>In the same way as the visual check<br>illumination) can be used to examine th<br>marks. In addition, the chatter mark ler | d narrow area is evaluated visually and<br>idered errors if they are visible to the<br>50 cm within<br>erson performing the test moves their<br>ow surface to strengthen the perception<br>ample. The color particles are deposited<br>are pressed against the cutting edge<br>even, multiple marks should be counted<br>g the start and end points by averaging.)<br>k, a digital microscope (e.g. dark field<br>he vertical part of a workpiece for chatter<br>agth can be measured and documented. |  |  |
|       | Decision criteria                     | The chatter marks must be only very f<br>height of the profiles (e.g. radius, char<br>to ensure that the respective profiles<br>choppers on the profile. With radii, it is<br>can be perceived subjectively as a rou   | aintly pronounced on the entire vertical<br>nfer). In the corners, care must be taken<br>progress evenly so that there are no<br>s particularly important that the corners<br>nding.  |  |  |

# **FE HOMAG**

|       |                    | Page 38 of 58 8. September 2022   |
|-------|--------------------|---|
|       |                    |   |
|       | Quality feature    | Brinnelling from swinging up  |
| Vhat? | Definition         | Marks on the profiles (e.g. radii, chamfers) crosswise to the feed direction due to the profile trimmer swinging up and vibrating (e.g. due to insufficient system rigidity). |
| S     |                    | friction in wood edgebands.   |
|       | Regulations        | -   |
|       | Measuring          | Pragmatic — subjective:   |
|       | instrument         | Visual check without tools  |
|       |                    | <ul> <li>Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification)</li> </ul>   |
|       |                    | Contact testing (+ manual measuring)  |
|       |                    | Theoretical — objective:  |
| .`>   |                    | Digital microscope  |
| Ŷ     |                    | KMG measuring machine   |
|       |                    | Contour-measuring device  |
|       | Measurement method | See 14.3 Chatter marks  |
|       | Decision criteria  | There must be no recognizable brinnelling caused by swinging up.  |
|       |                    |   |



Page 39 of 58

8. September 2022

## 14.5 Processing roughness

|            | Quality feature    | Processing roughness (lubricating effect PP)  |
|------------|--------------------|---|
| at?        | Definition         | When processing with defined blades, the roughness of the surface of the profile trimming is determined by the roughness of the blades (chatter marks, tooth marks, fibers, scratches, etc.) and reflected as cutting tracks on the profile.  |
| 1M         |                    | Here, processing tracks or cutting tracks can appear on ABS and wood<br>edges, whereas PP edges tend to smear due to their material properties. This<br>can be counteracted with the correct cutting speed/speed/direction of rotation<br>of the tool (clockwise/counterclockwise). |
|            | Regulations        | -   |
|            | Measuring          | Pragmatic — subjective:   |
|            | instrument         | Haptic testing (finger test)  |
|            |                    | <ul> <li>Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification)</li> </ul>   |
|            |                    | Light gap measurement with straight edge  |
|            |                    | Theoretical — objective:  |
| <u>ر</u> . |                    | Contour-measuring device  |
| N          |                    | <ul> <li>Roughness-measuring device</li> </ul>  |
| Ĭ          |                    | Digital microscope (+ dark field illumination)  |
|            | Measurement method | See 14.2 Waviness   |
|            | Decision criteria  | With the specified measuring devices, it must not be possible to detect any visually perceptible processing roughness in the form of cutting tracks and/or smear effects in the profile areas as a whole.   |



Page 40 of 58

8. September 2022

### 14.6 Vertical processing transition

|     | Quality feature         | Vertical processing transition   |
|-----|-------------------------|--|
| ıt? | Definition              | Evaluation of the transition from upper to lower processing in the vertical part.<br>This applies to units in which the vertical part is trimmed using two devices or<br>in separate processing steps (e.g. FK11, FF32 and FK21).<br>Different applications or different settings of the upper and lower units can<br>result in different profile variants (e.g. radius, chamfer) as well as recognizable<br>transitions (e.g. upper radius larger than lower radius). |
| MM  |                         | Figure 7: Vertical processing transition   |
|     | Regulations             | -  |
|     | Measuring<br>instrument | <ul> <li>Pragmatic — subjective:</li> <li>Visual check without tools</li> <li>Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification)</li> <li>Light gap measurement with straight edge/precision control square</li> </ul>  |
|     | Measurement             | <ul> <li>Theoretical — objective:</li> <li>Digital microscope</li> <li>Measuring machine (KMG)</li> <li>Contour-measuring device</li> </ul>  |
| رخ  | method                  | narrow surface, the thickness of the panel must be min. 38 mm, otherwise potential errors will not be visible.   |
| How |                         | Visual check without tools:<br>The uniformity of the profile transitions is checked at the vertically trimmed<br>lines/radii in good lighting. Visual deviations are considered errors if they are<br>visible to the naked eye from a viewing distance of 50 cm within 30 seconds.   |
|     |                         | Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification)/straight edge/precision control square:<br>A measuring magnifier or a straight edge can be used to identify or examine detected processing transitions in more detail.   |
|     | Decision criteria       | The progression of the trimmed profiles must be evaluated in the transition of the vertical part. There must be no recognizable transitions in the vertical part. In addition, there must be no overhangs that can be seen and/or felt. A homogeneous progression is a prerequisite for this.  |

# **FE HOMAG**

Page 41 of 58

8. September 2022

|       | Quality feature         | Horizontal processing transition   |
|-------|-------------------------|--|
| What? | Definition              | Evaluation of the transition from the trimming of the upper and bottom edges<br>of the workpiece (fine trimming or multi-trimming) to the profile trimming of the<br>front and rear workpiece contour. This applies to units that only process the<br>front and rear workpiece contours (e.g. FK30).<br>Unwanted transitions can occur in the case of profile trimming of the front and<br>rear workpiece contour (e.g. due to incorrect line points, incorrect pressures,<br>incorrect mechanical settings). The profile trimming contour must correspond<br>to the contour of the longitudinal edge. In addition, damage to the covering<br>layer (especially in the area of the corners) must be avoided. |
|       |                         | Figure 8: Horizontal processing transition   |
|       | Regulations             | -  |
| How?  | Measuring<br>instrument | <ul> <li>Pragmatic — subjective: <ul> <li>Visual check without tools</li> <li>Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification)</li> <li>Light gap measurement with straight edge/precision control square</li> </ul> </li> <li>Theoretical — objective: <ul> <li>Digital microscope</li> <li>Measuring machine (KMG)</li> <li>Contour-measuring device</li> </ul> </li> </ul>   |
|       | Measurement<br>method   | Same as Chapter 14.6 Vertical processing transition.   |
|       | Decision criteria       | The progression of the trimmed profile (e.g. radius, chamfer) must be<br>evaluated in the transition of the horizontal part. Transitions or overhangs in<br>the horizontal part must not be noticeable or perceptible with the defined<br>measuring instruments. A homogeneous progression must be achieved.<br>In addition, damage to the covering layer, especially in the corners, is not<br>permitted.   |

# **FE HOMAG**

Page 42 of 58

8. September 2022

|       | Quality feature         | Flushness between the transverse and longitudinal edges   |
|-------|-------------------------|---|
| What? | Definition              | In the case of workpieces with glued longitudinal and transverse edges, a transition occurs between the two edgebands after profile trimming. This is located in the area of the profile outfeed to the transverse edge.<br>For an accurate profile outfeed, it is important to use the exact tool for the desired profile. |
|       | Regulations             | -   |
|       | Measuring<br>instrument | <ul> <li>Pragmatic — subjective:</li> <li>Visual and haptic testing (finger test)</li> <li>Light gap measurement with straight edge/precision control square</li> </ul>   |
|       |                         | Theoretical — objective:  |
|       |                         | Digital microscope  |
|       |                         | Contour-measuring device  |
|       |                         | Measuring machine (KMG)   |
|       | Measurement<br>method   | Visual and haptic testing (finger test):<br>The workpieces are evaluated in the area of the transition from the<br>longitudinal edge to the transverse edge in good lighting. Visual deviations   |
|       |                         | are considered errors if they are visible to the naked eye from a viewing distance of 50 cm within 30 seconds. In addition, haptic testing must be performed.   |
| How?  |                         | Figure 9: Flushness between the transverse and longitudinal edge  |
|       |                         | Light gap measurement with straight edge/precision control square:<br>A straight edge can be used to identify or examine detected processing<br>transitions in more detail.   |
|       | Decision criteria       | An existing overhang can be compensated by shrinkage after around seven days of storage.  |
|       |                         | Visual and haptic testing (finger test):<br>There must be no clearly visible or haptically perceptible overhang at the<br>transition from the longitudinal to the transverse edge.  |
|       |                         | Light gap measurement with straight edge/precision control square:<br>At the transition from the longitudinal to the transverse edge, no significant<br>overhang must be visible in the form of a light gap.  |
|       |                         | Digital microscope/contour measuring device/measuring machine (KMG):<br>Overhang tolerance ± 0.05 mm.   |

Page 43 of 58

8. September 2022

### 14.9 Impressions and shiny marks during profile trimming

|       | Quality feature         | Impressions and shiny marks during profile trimming  |
|-------|-------------------------|--|
| What? | Definition              | <ul> <li>Design deviations in the form of pressure points and shiny marks on the edgeband when tracing the workpieces due to detector rollers and sliding shoes of the profile trimming units (tracing elements).</li> <li>Difference between impressions and shiny marks: <ul> <li>Impressions are created especially in rolling tracing (detector rollers) due to the starting pressure/impact and the more selective load of detector rollers. This is especially common with soft edgeband material (e.g. paper).</li> <li>Shiny marks are created by a sliding stop pad on the front side and through the lateral tracing on the narrow surface. Note that this effect can be intensified in the case of dark and glossy colors.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
|       | Regulations             | -  |
| How?  | Measuring<br>instrument | <ul> <li>Pragmatic — subjective:</li> <li>Visual check without tools</li> <li>Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification)</li> <li>Haptic testing (finger test)</li> </ul>   |
|       | Measurement<br>method   | Visual check without tools:<br>The edgebands of the workpieces are visually checked in the backlight/strip<br>light (natural/direct sunlight). Shine is characterized by the intense reflection of<br>light on smooth surfaces. Shiny marks and impressions are visible due to the<br>directional reflection (light incidence). Visual deviations are considered errors<br>if they are visible to the naked eye from a viewing distance of 50 cm within<br>30 seconds.   |
|       |                         | Measuring magnifier:<br>A measuring magnifier can be used to examine and evaluate shiny marks or<br>detect impressions more closely.   |
|       |                         | Haptic testing (finger test):<br>Haptically, impressions can be felt in particular on the workpiece in the area of<br>the snipping stops.  |
|       | Decision criteria       | In the areas where the tracing rolls or slides on the edgeband, no visible or perceptible impressions or shiny marks should be detected using the specified measuring devices.   |



Page 44 of 58

8. September 2022

### 14.10 Tears (for wooden edges)

|       | Quality feature         | Tears (for wooden edges)   |
|-------|-------------------------|--|
| What? | Definition              | Visible and perceptible pronounced chips, fibers, tears and cracks in the edgeband material in the profile area, which, depending on the material, can occur due to the cutting shape, tool wear and the direction of the fiber cut. During profile trimming, tears occur exclusively with edge material made of wood (especially woods with long fibers). This can be counteracted by a change in synchronous trimming. |
|       | Regulations             | -  |
|       | Measuring<br>instrument | <ul> <li>Pragmatic — subjective:</li> <li>Visual check without tools</li> <li>Haptic testing (finger test)</li> </ul>  |
| Ном?  | Measurement<br>method   | Visual check without tools:<br>The trimmed profiles of the workpieces are examined in good lighting. Visual<br>deviations are considered errors if they are visible to the naked eye from a<br>viewing distance of 50 cm within 30 seconds.  |
|       |                         | Haptic testing (finger test):<br>In addition to the visual check, the person performing the test moves their<br>fingertips over the surface against the fiber, so that fibers or parts of fibers<br>stand up due to their jagged structure. These fibers get caught in the furrows<br>and grooves of the fingertips, thus increasing the perception ("cat hair effect").   |
|       | Decision criteria       | Visual check without tools/haptic testing:<br>There must be no visible or haptically perceptible tears over the entire<br>trimmed profile.   |



Page 45 of 58

8. September 2022

### 14.11 Undamaged protective film

|       | Quality feature         | Undamaged protective film   |
|-------|-------------------------|---|
| What? | Definition              | If protective film is present on the edgeband, it must not be ripped or torn by the profile trimming and hang down. It is important that the protective film is not damaged.<br>This can occur when inserting the unit on the workpiece surface, especially in the case of films with low adhesion. |
|       | Regulations             | -   |
| How?  | Measuring<br>instrument | <ul> <li>Pragmatic — subjective:</li> <li>Visual check without tools</li> </ul>   |
|       | Measurement<br>method   | Visual check without tools:<br>The workpiece is visually inspected at the profile trimming areas in good<br>lighting without any tools. Visual deviations are considered errors if they are<br>visible to the naked eye from a viewing distance of 50 cm within 30 seconds.                         |
|       | Decision criteria       | <ul> <li>Visual check without tools:</li> <li>A distinction is made between two states for the visual check (visual evaluation):</li> <li>OK = undamaged protective film and adhesion</li> <li>NOK = damaged protective film and/or no adhesion</li> </ul>  |

Page 46 of 58

### 15. Profile and glue joint scraper

### 15.1 Profile scraper

### 15.1.1 Uniformity of profile outfeed

|       | Quality feature      | Uniformity of the profile outfeed   |
|-------|----------------------|---|
| What? | Definition           | A uniform profile outfeed toward the center of the narrow surface takes<br>into account an identical design of the upper and lower profiles.<br>The respective specifications according to the workpiece drawing and<br>the tool profile suitable for the edge material are used as a basis.  |
|       |                      | Figure 10: Example — uniform radius outfeed   |
|       | Regulations          | -   |
| How?  | Measuring instrument | <ul> <li>Pragmatic — subjective:</li> <li>Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification)</li> <li>Caliper gage/depth gage</li> <li>Theoretical — objective: <ul> <li>Digital microscope</li> </ul> </li> </ul>   |
|       | Measurement method   | Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification)<br>See chapter 14.2<br>Caliper gage/depth gage:<br>A depth gage can be used to measure the depth of the respective profile<br>at a minimum of four measuring points over the entire workpiece length<br>and compare it with the opposite profile.<br>Digital microscope:<br>See chapter 14.2                              |
|       | Decision criteria    | <ul> <li>With the specified measuring instruments, a homogeneous profile progression in the direction of the narrow surface must be ensured. In addition, the upper and lower profiles must not deviate from each other (max. 10% deviation).</li> <li>Examples: 1 mm radius → max. deviation 0.1 mm (= 10%) or 3 mm chamfer → max. deviation 0.3 mm (= 10%)</li> </ul> |



Page 47 of 58

8. September 2022

### 15.1.2 Surface quality

|       | Quality feature      | Surface quality   |
|-------|----------------------|---|
| What? | Definition           | There should be no processing tracks in the form of trimming tool chatter marks on the upper and lower horizontal parts of the profiles after the profile scraper processing.   |
|       | Regulations          | -   |
| How?  | Measuring instrument | <ul> <li>Pragmatic — subjective:</li> <li>Visual check without tools</li> <li>Haptic testing</li> </ul>   |
|       | Measurement method   | Visual check without tools:<br>The profiles of the workpieces are visually examined for surface quality<br>in good lighting by means of mirrors in the backlight. Visual deviations<br>are considered errors if they are visible to the naked eye from a viewing<br>distance of 50 cm within 30 seconds.<br>Haptic testing:<br>In haptic testing, the person performing the test moves their fingertips<br>over the surface of the horizontal profile to strengthen the perception of<br>chatter marks. |
|       | Decision criteria    | In the horizontal part, no chatter marks and/or processing tracks must<br>be visible and/or haptically perceptible over the entire length after the<br>profile scraper processing.<br>A noticeably smooth surface over the entire length must be achieved.  |

**HE HOMAG** 

Page 48 of 58

8. September 2022

### 15.1.3 Stress whitening

|       | Quality feature      | Stress whitening   |
|-------|----------------------|--|
| What? | Definition           | Plastic edges tend to produce "stress whitening" and matt surfaces<br>during scraper processing. In addition, the color fastness suffers,<br>especially with dark edgebands.<br>During scraper processing, stress whitening can form on the cutting<br>surfaces of the edgebands and appears as an undesirable white or gray<br>shimmer.<br>In order to counteract stress whitening, the recommended chip thickness<br>(chapter 15.1.4) must be set. |
|       | Regulations          | -  |
| How?  | Measuring instrument | <ul> <li>Pragmatic — subjective:</li> <li>Visual check without tools</li> </ul>  |
|       | Measurement method   | Visual check without tools:<br>The profiles of the workpieces are visually examined for their tendency<br>to stress whitening in good lighting by means of mirrors in the backlight.<br>Visual deviations are considered errors if they are visible to the naked<br>eye from a viewing distance of 50 cm within 30 seconds.  |
|       | Decision criteria    | Visual check without tools:<br>The color difference between the surfaces of the drawn profiles and the<br>narrow surface must be as small as possible. Stress whitening must not<br>be visually noticeable.  |

**FE HOMAG** 

Page 49 of 58

8. September 2022

### 15.1.4 Scraper chip form

|       | Quality feature      | Scraper chip form   |
|-------|----------------------|---|
| What? | Definition           | The form of the scraper chip over the entire drawn line of the profile must<br>be evaluated in order to prevent brightening or stress whitening, to<br>smooth out the chatter marks of the trimming and to achieve an optimum<br>result.  |
|       | Regulations          | -   |
|       | Measuring instrument | Pragmatic — subjective:<br>• Haptic testing<br>Pragmatic — objective:<br>• Caliper gauge<br>• Micrometers   |
| How?  | Measurement method   | Haptic testing:<br>The uniform thickness and width progression of the drawn chip is<br>checked haptically over the entire length of the workpiece.<br>Caliper gage/micrometer:<br>Measurement of the chip thickness and chip width over the entire length;<br>this applies to the upper and lower chip.   |
|       | Decision criteria    | <ul> <li>Haptic testing:</li> <li>Depending on the edgeband material, a smooth chip (as far as possible) of the same thickness and width over the entire length must be achieved. In addition, the chip should curl or roll up as little as possible.</li> <li>Caliper gage/micrometer:</li> <li>The following measurement tolerances apply for the chip thickness:</li> <li>Target chip thickness = 0.1 mm up to 0.15 mm (Exception: PMMA target chip thickness = 0.06 mm to 0.08 mm)</li> </ul> |

Page 50 of 58

8. September 2022

### 15.1.5 Impressions and shiny marks during profile scraping

|       | Quality feature      | Impressions and shiny marks during profile scraping   |
|-------|----------------------|---|
| What? | Definition           | Design deviations in the form of pressure points and shiny marks on the edgeband when tracing the workpieces due to detector rollers and sliding shoes of the profile scraper unit (tracing elements). These depend on the material properties as well as on the tracing pressure, starting impact, lift-off, lubricant application, planer layout and crowning of the edgeband material.   |
|       |                      | <ul> <li>Difference between impressions and shiny marks:</li> <li>Impressions are created especially in rolling tracing (detector rollers) due to the starting pressure/impact and the more selective load of detector rollers. This is especially common with soft edgeband material (e.g. paper).</li> <li>Shiny marks are created during sliding tracing (sliding shoe), for example, during tracing of the front side. Note that this effect is intensified in the case of dark and glossy colors.</li> </ul> |
|       | Regulations          | -   |
| How?  | Measuring instrument | <ul> <li>Pragmatic — subjective:</li> <li>Visual check without tools</li> <li>Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification)</li> </ul>  |
|       | Measurement method   | Visual check without tools (well-lit room):<br>The edgebands of the workpieces are visually checked in the<br>backlight/scattered light (natural/direct sunlight). Shine is characterized<br>by the intense reflection of light on smooth surfaces. Shiny marks and<br>impressions are visible due to the directional reflection (light incidence).<br>Visual deviations are considered errors if they are visible to the naked<br>eye from a viewing distance of 50 cm within 30 seconds.                        |
|       |                      | Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification)<br>A measuring magnifier can be used to examine and evaluate shiny<br>marks or detect impressions more closely.   |
|       | Decision criteria    | In the areas where the tracing rolls or slides on the edgeband, there must<br>be no visible and/or perceptible impressions or shiny marks that can be<br>detected with the specified measuring devices.   |

**HE HOMAG** 

Page 51 of 58

8. September 2022

## 15.1.6 Uniform processing

|      | Quality feature      | Uniform processing   |
|------|----------------------|--|
|      | Definition           | In the case of uniform processing, it must be ensured that there are no<br>dents or steps over the entire workpiece length, and that there is a  |
| at?  |                      | homogeneous image.   |
| Wh   |                      | ensured at the front and rear edges.   |
|      |                      | This can be influenced in particular by the tracing pressure and lift-off.   |
|      | Regulations          | -  |
|      | Measuring instrument | Pragmatic — subjective:  |
|      |                      | Visual check without tools   |
| How? | Measurement method   | Visual check without tools (well-lit room):<br>The edgebands of the workpieces are visually checked in the<br>backlight/scattered light (natural/direct sunlight). Shine is characterized<br>by the intense reflection of light on smooth surfaces. Steps and dents<br>are visible due to the directional reflection (light incidence).<br>Visual deviations are considered errors if they are visible to the naked<br>eye from a viewing distance of 50 cm within 30 seconds. |
|      | Decision criteria    | Visual check without tools:<br>The profile (e.g. radius, chamfer) and especially the front and rear edges<br>must have no visually perceptible steps and/or dents over the entire<br>workpiece length.   |



Page 52 of 58

8. September 2022

#### 15.1.7 Waviness

|       | Quality feature      | Waviness  |
|-------|----------------------|---|
| What? | Definition           | Waviness caused by vibrations due to lack of rigidity and a profile variant that is too deep (e.g. radius, chamfer) in the direction of the narrow surface. These can occur especially as starting vibrations in the area of the front edge as a result of lift-off. This waviness can also be influenced by tracing pressure, lift-off and chip thickness (large radius and thick chip $\rightarrow$ waviness increases).<br>In order to counteract waviness, the recommended chip thickness (chapter 15.1.4) must be set.                     |
|       | Regulations          | -   |
| How?  | Measuring instrument | Pragmatic — subjective:<br>• Visual check<br>Pragmatic — objective:<br>• Dial gauge<br>• Caliper gauge  |
|       | Measurement method   | Visual check:<br>The progression of the vertical and horizontal profiles of the workpieces<br>is examined in good lighting. Visual deviations are considered errors if<br>they are visible to the naked eye from a viewing distance of 50 cm<br>within 30 seconds.<br>Dial gage:<br>A dial gage is attached to the unit (default value 0.5–0.7 mm) in order<br>to determine the lift-off of the profile scraper unit.<br>Caliper gage:<br>The caliper gage is used to measure the chip thickness and chip width<br>according to Chapter 15.1.4. |
|       | Decision criteria    | Visual check:<br>There must be no visible waves over the entire horizontal length of the<br>profiles.   |

Page 53 of 58

8. September 2022

## 15.1.8 Chip tear at the rear edge

|       | Quality feature      | Chip tear at the rear edge  |
|-------|----------------------|---|
| What? | Definition           | An exact chip tear of the drawn chip at the rear edge must be ensured<br>especially for longitudinal processing.<br>The requirements for an optimal chip tear are the standards of the quality<br>feature of the scraper chip form as defined in chapter 15.1.4.  |
|       | Regulations          | -   |
|       | Measuring instrument | <ul> <li>Pragmatic — subjective:</li> <li>Visual check without tools</li> </ul>   |
| How?  | Measurement method   | Visual check without tools:<br>The workpieces are examined in good lighting, paying special attention<br>to the rear edge. Visual deviations are considered errors if they are<br>visible to the naked eye from a viewing distance of 50 cm within<br>30 seconds. |
|       | Decision criteria    | Visual check without tools:<br>The chip at the rear edge should be torn off flush. In addition, there must<br>be no visible tear-off points or break-off points in the form of paint defects<br>or stress whitening.  |

Page 54 of 58

8. September 2022

### 15.1.9 Transition from the edge material to the covering layer

|       | Quality feature      | Transition from the edge material to the covering layer  |
|-------|----------------------|--|
| What? | Definition           | A homogeneous transition from the edge material to the covering layer<br>of the carrier material, especially in the area of the glue joint, must be<br>achieved. This applies to both the upper and lower transitions.   |
|       |                      | Carrier material<br>Transition area  |
|       |                      | Figure 11: Transition from the edge material to the covering layer   |
|       | Regulations          | -  |
| How?  | Measuring instrument | <ul> <li>Pragmatic — subjective:</li> <li>Haptic testing</li> <li>Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification)</li> </ul>   |
|       | Measurement method   | Haptic testing:<br>In haptic testing, the person performing the test moves their fingertips<br>over the surface of the transition from the edge material to the covering<br>layer to strengthen the perception of unevenness.  |
|       |                      | Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification):<br>The transitions from the edge material to the covering layers of the<br>workpiece are evaluated at an angle of 90° with good lighting and a 5x<br>to 10x magnification.  |
|       | Decision criteria    | The transitions from the edge material to the covering layers of the carrier material must be flush. There must be no step or overhang that is visible and/or haptically perceptible with the measuring devices. In addition, the covering layer must not be damaged in this transition. |

HE HOMAG

Page 55 of 58

8. September 2022

### 15.2 Glue joint scraper

### 15.2.1 No damage to the covering layer

|       | Quality feature      | No damage to the covering layer   |
|-------|----------------------|---|
| What? | Definition           | Visible damage to the covering layer due to the glue joint scraper<br>drawing too deep. This damage can occur in the form of break-outs,<br>damage or scratches or a change in the surface structure.<br>Attention must be paid in particular to the areas of the front and rear<br>edges, which must be identical.                                     |
|       | Regulations          | -   |
| How?  | Measuring instrument | <ul> <li>Pragmatic — subjective:</li> <li>Visual check without tools</li> <li>Haptic testing (finger test)</li> </ul>   |
|       | Measurement method   | Visual check without tools:<br>The transitions between the carrier material surfaces and the edge<br>material are visually examined in good lighting with special attention paid<br>to the front and rear edges. Visual deviations are considered errors if<br>they are visible to the naked eye from a viewing distance of 50 cm within<br>30 seconds. |
|       |                      | Haptic testing (finger test):<br>In addition to the visual check, the person performing the test moves<br>their fingertips over the drawn surface to feel any damage to the covering<br>layer haptically.   |
|       | Decision criteria    | There must be no visible and/or haptically perceptible damage to the covering layer over the entire drawn surface.  |

Page 56 of 58

8. September 2022

15.2.2 No glue residue in the area of the glue joint

|       | Quality feature      | No glue residue in the area of the glue joint   |
|-------|----------------------|---|
| What? | Definition           | Visible glue residue in the area of the joint, which has not been removed<br>by the glue joint scraper. In addition, attention must be paid to any<br>minimum residual overhangs of the edge material.  |
|       | Regulations          | -   |
|       | Measuring instrument | <ul> <li>Pragmatic — subjective:</li> <li>Visual check</li> <li>Haptic testing</li> <li>Measuring magnifier</li> </ul>  |
| How?  | Measurement method   | Visual check without tools:<br>The transitions between the carrier material surfaces and the edge<br>material are visually examined in good lighting with special attention paid<br>to the front and rear edges. Visual deviations are considered errors if they<br>are visible to the naked eye from a viewing distance of 50 cm within<br>30 seconds.<br>Haptic testing (finger test):<br>In addition to the visual check, the person performing the test moves their<br>fingertips over the drawn surface to feel any damage to the covering layer<br>haptically.<br>Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification):<br>A measuring magnifier can be used to examine and evaluate detected<br>glue residues more closely. |
|       | Decision criteria    | There must be no visible or haptically perceptible glue residue or minimal edgeband overhangs over the entire drawn surface/glue joint.   |

Page 57 of 58

8. September 2022

### 15.2.3 Shiny marks with the glue joint scraper

|       | Quality feature      | Shiny marks with the glue joint scraper  |
|-------|----------------------|--|
| What? | Definition           | Surface damage in the form of shiny marks on the covering layer when tracing the workpieces due to the tracing pads of the glue joint scraper unit (tracing elements). These depend on the material properties as well as on the tracing pressure, starting impact, lift-off, lubricant application, planer layout and warping of the surface. Shiny marks are created during sliding tracing (sliding shoe). Note that this effect is intensified in the case of dark, glossy colors.   |
|       | Regulations          | -  |
| How?  | Measuring instrument | <ul> <li>Pragmatic — subjective:</li> <li>Visual check without tools</li> <li>Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification)</li> </ul>   |
|       | Measurement method   | Visual check without tools (well-lit room):<br>The covering layers of the workpieces are visually checked in the<br>backlight/scattered light (natural/direct sunlight). Shine is characterized<br>by the intense reflection of light on smooth surfaces. Shiny marks and<br>impressions are visible due to the directional reflection (light incidence).<br>Visual deviations are considered errors if they are visible to the naked eye<br>from a viewing distance of 50 cm within 30 seconds.<br>Measuring magnifier (5x to 10x magnification): |
|       |                      | A measuring magnifier can be used to examine and evaluate shiny marks<br>or detect impressions more closely.   |
|       | Decision criteria    | In the areas where the tracing rolls or slides on the edgeband, there must<br>be no visible and/or perceptible impressions or shiny marks that can be<br>detected with the specified measuring devices.  |



Page 58 of 58

8. September 2022

### 15.2.4 Undamaged protective film

| What? | Quality feature         | Undamaged protective film   |
|-------|-------------------------|---|
|       | Definition              | If protective film is present on the covering layer, it must not be ripped or torn<br>by the glue joint scraper and hang down. It is important that the protective film<br>is not detached.<br>This can occur especially when inserting the unit on the workpiece surface<br>and/or in the case of films with low adhesion. |
|       | Regulations             | -   |
| How?  | Measuring<br>instrument | <ul> <li>Pragmatic — subjective:</li> <li>Visual check without tools</li> </ul>   |
|       | Measurement<br>method   | Visual check without tools:<br>The workpiece is visually inspected at the profile trimming areas in good<br>lighting without any tools. Visual deviations are considered errors if they are<br>visible to the naked eye from a viewing distance of 50 cm within 30 seconds  |
|       | Decision criteria       | <ul> <li>Visual check without tools:</li> <li>A distinction is made between two states for the visual evaluation:</li> <li>OK = undamaged protective film and adhesion</li> <li>NOK = damaged protective film and/or no adhesion</li> </ul>   |